

Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY
PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P802665/WO/1	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/DE2004/001212	International filing date (day/month/year) 11.06.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 19.06.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC			
Applicant MTU AERO ENGINES GMBH			

<p>1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>5</u> sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p>3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:</p> <p>a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (<i>sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau</i>) a total of <u>1</u> sheets, as follows:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.</p> <p>b. <input type="checkbox"/> (<i>sent to the International Bureau only</i>) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) _____, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).</p>
--

<p>4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I Basis of the report</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II Priority</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI Certain documents cited</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application</p>
--

Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of this report
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2004/001212

Box No. I Basis of the report

1. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language _____ which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:

international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))
 publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)
 international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)

2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (*replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report*):

the international application as originally filed/furnished
 the description:

pages 1-9 as originally filed/furnished

pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____

pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____

the claims:

nos. 2-10 as originally filed/furnished

nos.* _____ as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
25.04.2005 with letter
of 25.04.2005

nos.* 1 received by this Authority on _____

nos.* _____ received by this Authority on _____

the drawings:

sheets 1/3-3/3 as originally filed/furnished

sheets* _____ received by this Authority on _____

sheets* _____ received by this Authority on _____

a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.

3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

the description, pages _____
 the claims, nos. _____
 the drawings, sheets/figs _____
 the sequence listing (*specify*): _____
 any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*): _____

4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

the description, pages _____
 the claims, nos. _____
 the drawings, sheets/figs _____
 the sequence listing (*specify*): _____
 any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*): _____

* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2004/001212

Box No. V **Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	_____	YES
	Claims	1-10	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	_____	YES
	Claims	1-10	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES
	Claims	_____	NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

1. This report makes reference to the following document:

D1: EP 1 034 865 A (ABB ALSTOM POWER CH AG), 13 September 2000 (2000-09-13)

2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel (PCT Article 33(2)). Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses are to that document):

a milling process for manufacturing structural components made of materials which are difficult to machine, generating recesses with a side wall. A milling tool (8) with a tool radius is rotatably driven so as to ensure its centred rotation about an axis (10) of the milling tool, a reference point of the milling tool being moved on a plurality of curved guide paths (a spiral guide path 7, 7' can be regarded as being composed of different guide paths), the tool being moved on the guide paths with a radial feed (the spiral is continuously narrowed;

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/001212Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

figure 3) relatively to the material, and the curvature at every point of each guide path is determined in such a way that the arc of contact with the milling tool is optimised to the maximum admissible arc of contact at every point on the guide path.

According to D1, the arc of contact corresponds to the width of the milling tool (column 4, lines 42-44) and is kept constant during the entire process ("continuous material removal"). That arc of contact must be regarded as the maximum admissible arc of contact. In D1, therefore, the curvature is determined at every point on the guide path in such a way that an 180° arc of contact with the milling tool is retained. According to D1, it is obvious that even with this arc of contact chips can be safely carried away.

D1 thus shows all the features of claim 1.

3. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-10

Claims 2-10 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT novelty or inventive step requirements.

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 because claim 1 is unclear.

The expression used in claim 1, according to which "a maximum admissible arc of contact is optimised" is vague and unclear and leaves the reader uncertain about the meaning of the technical feature in question. As a result, the subject matter of this claim is not clearly defined (PCT Article 6).

According to the description (page 5, paragraph 4), the maximum admissible arc of contact is that which permits any chips to be safely removed. However, it is unclear what arc of contact comes into question for that purpose. Moreover, this corresponds rather to the wording of a problem without indication of the specific technical features necessary to solve it.

It is clear from page 6, paragraph 4 to page 1, paragraph 1, of the description, that the features which are also defined in claims 3 and 4 are necessary for the definition of the invention.

Since independent claim 1 does not contain these features, it does not meet the requirement of PCT Article 6 in conjunction with PCT Rule 6.3(b), according to which each independent claim must include all the technical features that are necessary for the definition of the invention.